



\$~30

- * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
- + W.P.(C) 10221/2023, CM APPL. 8736/2024 & CM APPL. 31644/2025

ABHISHEK TIWARIPetitioner

Through: Mr. Prakhar Bhatnagar, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sahil Bhalaik, Mr. Tushar Giri, Mr. Siddharth Anil Khanna, Mr. Ritik Arora and Mr. Shivam Mishra, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA <u>O R D E R (ORAL)</u>

% 19.11.2025

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

1. This writ petition is directed against an order dated 4 May 2023, which reads as under:

"Order of the Tribunal

The matter is adjourned *sine-die*, as the matter is subjudice by the Hon'ble High Court.

Chhabilendra Roul Member(A) Ashish Kalia Member (J)"

2. To our mind, this writ petition need not have even remained pending for so long, as it is obvious that the petition is misconceived.

W.P.(C) 10221/2023 Page 1 of 2





- **3.** The order of the Tribunal merely adjourns OA 509/2021 *sine die*, as the issue before the Tribunal was *sub judice* before this Court in WP (C) 2110/2003¹.
- **4.** Mr. Prakhar Bhatnagar, learned Counsel for the petitioner acknowledges, to a query from the Court, that the Tribunal could not have decided OA 509/2021 because of the interim order dated 12 December 2022, passed in the WP (C) 2110/2003.
- 5. In that view of the matter, there was obviously no error in the order of the Tribunal adjourning OA 509/2021 *sine die*, awaiting the outcome of the proceedings before this Court.
- **6.** This writ petition is, therefore, thoroughly misconceived and is, accordingly, dismissed.
- 7. The next date of hearing i.e. 24 November 2025, stands cancelled.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2025/gunn

W.P.(C) 10221/2023 Page 2 of 2

¹ Assc. of UPSC Rectd. Prog. Officers & Anr v Union of India