



2025:DHC:7202



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 22.08.2025

+

CRL.M.C.5832/2025

INDERJEET& ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Parveen Dabas and Mr.
Jwala Singh, Advs.

Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE(NCT) OF DELHI & ANR.

... Respondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP with SI
Mohit, P.S.Bawana.

Mr. S.K.Mittal, Mr. Rahul Kr.
Pandit, Ms. Mansi Kumari and
Mr. Vijay Joshi, Advs. for R-2.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

JUDGMENT(ORAL)

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, seeking quashing of FIR No. 852/2021, dated 15.10.2021, registered at P.S Bawana, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement between the parties.



2. The factual matrix giving rise to the instant case is that the marriage between Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent no. 2/complainant was solemnized on 10.12.2010 as per Hindu Rites and ceremonies. Two children were born out of the said wedlock. However, on account of temperamental differences Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2 are living separately since October 2016.

3. As per averments made in the FIR, Respondent No. 2 was subjected to physical and mental harassment on account of dowry demands by the petitioners. She further submits that Petitioner no. 5 tried to outrage her modesty by groping her by the waist. Chargesheet has since been filed under section 498A/406/506/34 IPC against the petitioners.

4. During the course of proceedings, the parties amicably resolved their disputes and the terms of the compromise were reduced into writing in the form of a Settlement dated 23.01.2024 at Counselling Cell, Family Court, Rohini, Delhi. Pursuant to the aforesaid settlement, Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2 have obtained divorce on 29.01.2025. It is submitted that Petitioner No. 1 has paid the total settlement amount of Rs. 14,00,000/- (Rupees Fourteen lacs only) as per the schedule in settlement. It is further submitted that the custody of the children would be with respondent no. 2 and petitioner



no. 1 would have visitation rights as per mutual consent. Copy of the Settlement dated 23.01.2024 has been annexed as Annexure A-3.

5. Parties are physically present before the They have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer SI Mohit from PS Bawana.

6. Respondent No. 2 confirms that the matter has been amicably settled with the petitioners without any force, fear, coercion and she has received complete settlement amount and has no objection if the FIR No. 852/2021 is quashed against the Petitioners.

7. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Additional PP appearing for the State, also has no objection if the present FIR No. 852/2021 is quashed.

8. Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable settlement of disputes in *Rangappa Javoor vs The State Of Karnataka And Another, Diary No. 33313/2019, 2023 LiveLaw (SC) 74, Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr., (2013) 4 SCC 58 & in Gian Singh vs State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303*.

9. Further, it is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of the Code are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Further, the High Court can quash non-compoundable offences after considering the nature of



the offence and the amicable settlement between the concerned parties. Supreme Court and this Court have repeatedly held that the cases arising out of matrimonial differences should be put to a quietus if the parties have reached an amicable settlement. Reliance may be placed upon *B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana, (2003) 4 SCC*.

10. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice, to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

11. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 852/2021, dated 15.10.2021, registered at P.S Bawana, Delhi under section 498A/406/34 IPC and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom is hereby quashed.

12. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

13. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

August 22, 2025

SK