



\$~75

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 21.08.2025

+

CRL.M.C. 5782/2025, CRL.M.A. 24745-46/2025

HARPREET SINGH & ORS. ....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rahul Kulhare, Adv.  
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP with SI  
Gajender Mathur, P.S.Tilak  
Nagar.  
Mr. Divya Prakash Arya, Mr.  
Krishan Mourya, Ms. Kamini  
Srivastava, Adv. for R-2 with  
R-2 in person.

**CORAM:-**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA**

**JUDGMENT(ORAL)**

**RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.**

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, seeking quashing of FIR No. 529/2023, dated 13.08.2023, registered at P.S Tilak Nagar, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement between the parties.



2. The factual matrix giving rise to the instant case is that the marriage between Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent no. 2/complainant was solemnized on 28.04.2019 as per Hindu/Sikh Rites and ceremonies at Delhi. No child was born out of the said wedlock. However, on account of temperamental differences Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2 are living separately since 04.03.2023.

3. As per averments made in the FIR, Respondent No. 2 was subjected to threats, physical and mental harassment on account of dowry demands by the petitioners. She further submits that petitioner no. 2 on various occasions tried to rape her. Chargesheet has since been filed under sections 498A/406/354/34 IPC against the petitioners.

4. During the course of proceedings, the parties amicably resolved their disputes and the terms of the compromise were reduced into writing in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding dated 25.01.2025. Pursuant to the aforesaid settlement, Petitioner no. 1 and Respondent no. 2 have obtained divorce by mutual consent on 09.05.2025. It is submitted that Petitioner No. 1 has paid the total settlement amount of Rs. 10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten lacs only) as per the schedule in settlement. Copy of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 25.01.2025 has been annexed as Annexure P-3.



5. Parties are physically present before the Court. They have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer SI Gajender Mathur from PS Tilak Nagar.

6. Respondent No. 2 confirms that the matter has been amicably settled with the petitioners without any force, fear, coercion and she confirms receiving the entire settlement amount and has no objection if the FIR No. 529/2023 is quashed against the Petitioners.

7. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Additional PP appearing for the State, also has no objection if the present FIR No. 529/2023 is quashed.

8. Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable settlement of disputes in *Rangappa Javoor vs The State Of Karnataka And Another, Diary No. 33313/2019, 2023 LiveLaw (SC) 74, Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr., (2013) 4 SCC 58 & in Gian Singh vs State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303.*

9. Further, it is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of the Code are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Further, the High Court can quash non-compoundable offences after considering the nature of



the offence and the amicable settlement between the concerned parties. Supreme Court and this Court have repeatedly held that the cases arising out of matrimonial differences should be put to a quietus if the parties have reached an amicable settlement. Reliance may be placed upon *B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana, (2003) 4 SCC 675*.

10. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice, to quash the above mentioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

11. The petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 529/2023, dated 13.08.2023, registered at P.S Tilak Nagar, Delhi under section 498A/406/34 IPC and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom is hereby quashed.

12. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

13. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**RAVINDER DUDEJA, J**

**August 21, 2025**

**SK**