



2025:DHC:9846



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 11.11.2025

+

CRL.M.C. 7924/2025 & CRL.M.A. 33169/2025 EXEMPTION

MR SURAJ PRAKASH RAHEJA @ SURAJ RAHEJA &
ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Tarun Narang, Ms. Vidhi
Taank and Mr. Keshav Pawar,
Advs.

Petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP
with PSI Sohit and W/SI
Priyanka Saini P.S. Bindapur.
Mr. Ashok Taparia and Ms.
Shikha Raheja, Adv. for R-2
with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

JUDGMENT(ORAL)

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, seeking quashing of FIR No. 244/2024, dated 03.09.2024, registered at P.S Bindapur, District Dwarka, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement between the parties.



2. The factual matrix giving rise to the instant case is that the marriage between Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent no. 2/complainant was solemnized on 02.12.2005 as per Hindu Rites and ceremonies at Delhi. One Child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental differences Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent no. 2 have been living separately since 17.01.2022.

3. As per averments made in the FIR, Respondent No. 2 was subjected to physical and mental harassment on account of dowry demands by the Petitioners. FIR No. 244/2024 was lodged at the instance of respondent no. 2 under sections 498A/406/34 IPC against the Petitioners.

4. During the course of proceedings, the parties amicably resolved their disputes before the Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi and the terms of the compromise were reduced into writing in the form of a Settlement dated 03.06.2025. It is submitted that petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 have obtained divorce by mutual consent on 19.09.2025 and petitioner no. 1 has paid the entire settlement amount of Rs. 32,00,000/- (Rupees Thirty Two Lacs Only) to respondent no. 2 as per the schedule of the settlement. It is further submitted that the custody of the child shall be with petitioner no. 1 with visitation rights to respondent no. 2 as per the schedule in the settlement. Copy of the Settlement dated 03.06.2025 has been annexed as Annexure P-2.



5. Parties are physically present before the Court. They have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer with PSI Sohit and W/SI Priyanka Saini from PS Bindapur.

6. Respondent no. 2 confirms that the matter has been amicably settled with the petitioners without any force, fear, coercion and she has received the total settlement amount and has no objection if the FIR No. 244/2024 is quashed against the Petitioners.

7. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Additional PP appearing for the State, also has no objection if the present FIR No. 244/2024 is quashed.

8. Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable settlement of disputes in *Rangappa Javoor vs The State Of Karnataka And Another, Diary No. 33313/2019, 2023 LiveLaw (SC) 74, Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr., (2013) 4 SCC 58 & in Gian Singh vs State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303.*

9. Further, it is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of the Code are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Further, the High Court can quash non-compoundable offences after considering the nature of the offence and the amicable settlement between the concerned parties. Supreme Court and this Court have repeatedly held that the



cases arising out of matrimonial differences should be put to a quietus if the parties have reached an amicable settlement. Reliance may be placed upon *B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana, (2003) 4 SCC*.

10. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice, to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

11. The petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 244/2024, dated 03.09.2024, registered at P.S Bindapur, District Dwarka, Delhi under section 498A/406/34 IPC and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom is hereby quashed subject to petitioners depositing cost of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with Delhi High Court Advocates Welfare Trust, bearing Account no. 15530210002995, maintained with UCO Bank within a period of one month.

12. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

13. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

November 11, 2025

SK