



2025:DHC:9787



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 10.11.2025

+ **CRL.M.C. 7894/2025**

JATIN SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pankaj Kumar Pandey,
Adv.
Petitioners no. 1 and 3 in
person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP with SI
Sunil Gahlot, P.S.S.J.Enclave.
Mr. Shivam Singh and Mr.
Himanshu Singh, Advs. for R-
2.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

JUDGMENT (ORAL)

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, seeking quashing of FIR No. 214/2024, dated 19.09.2024, registered at PS Safdarjung Enclave, Delhi under sections 110/3(5) BNS (**308/34 IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement between the parties.



2025:DHC:9787



2. As per allegations made in the FIR, on 19.09.2024 around 12:10 AM, respondent no.2 was physically assaulted by petitioners with a bottle, causing injuries. Chargesheet has since been filed under section 110/3(5) BNS against the petitioners.

3. During the proceedings, the parties amicably resolved their disputes before this court and executed a Settlement Deed dated 18.09.2025, copy of which has been annexed as Annexure C.

4. Petitioner Nos. 1 & 3 and respondent no. 2 are physically present before the Court. They have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer SI Sunil Gahlot, P.S. Safdarjung Enclave. Petitioner no. 2 is stated to be in jail in some other case in Gwalior.

5. Respondent No. 2 confirms that the matter has been amicably settled with the petitioners without any force, fear, coercion and he has no objection if the FIR No. 214/2024 is quashed against the Petitioners.

6. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Additional PP appearing for the State, also has no objection if the present FIR No. 214/2024 is quashed.

7. In ***Gian Singh vs State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303***, Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-



2025:DHC:9787



"61. In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceedings."

8. Further, it is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of the Code are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Further, the High Court can quash non-compoundable offences after considering the nature of the offence and the amicable settlement between the concerned parties. Reliance may be placed upon *B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana, (2003) 4 SCC 675*.

9. In view of the aforesaid circumstances and the fact that parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 214/2024, dated 19.09.2024, registered at PS Safdarjung Enclave, Delhi under sections 110/3(5) BNS and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom.

10. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 214/2024, dated 19.09.2024, registered at PS Safdarjung Enclave, Delhi under sections 110/3(5) and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom is hereby quashed.



2025:DHC:9787



11. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
12. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

November 10, 2025

SK

