



\$~15

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% Date of decision: 04.11.2025

+ CRL.M.C. 2338/2024

MANISH PANDEY & ORS. .....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Hans Raj Singh, Adv.

Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

....Respondents

Through:

Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP with

SI Rupesh Raj, P.S.Subhash

Place.

Ms. Charu Bhardwaj, Adv. for

R-2.

R-2 is present through vc.

## CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA JUDGMENT(ORAL)

## RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, seeking quashing of FIR No. 163/2019, dated 29.05.2019, registered at P.S Subhash Place, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement between the parties.

CRL.M.C. 2338/2024 Page 1 of 4





- 2. The factual matrix giving rise to the instant case is that the marriage between Petitioner no. 1 and Respondent no. 2/complainant was solemnized on 20.05.2015 as per Hindu Rites and ceremonies at Uttar Pradesh. One child was born out of the said wedlock. However, on account of temperamental differences Petitioner no. 1 and Respondent No. 2 are living separately since 13.07.2017.
- 3. As per averments made in the FIR, Respondent No. 2 was subjected to physical and mental harassment on account of dowry demands by the petitioners. FIR No. 163/2019 was lodged at the instance of Respondent no. 2 under sections 498A/406/34 IPC against the petitioners.
- 4. During the course of proceedings, the parties amicably resolved their disputes before the Family Courts, North West, Rohini Courts, Delhi and the terms of settlement were written in the form of a Settlement dated 18.07.2019. It is submitted that Petitioner no. 1 and Respondent no. 2 have obtained divorce by mutual consent on 04.09.2019 and she has received all the goods/articles as stated in the settlement. It is further submitted that the child would be in the custody of petitioner no. 1 with no visitation rights to Respondent no. 2.
- 5. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has produced the certified copy of the settlement deed dated 18.07.2019, the same is taken on record.

CRL.M.C. 2338/2024 Page 2 of 4





- 6. Petitioners are physically present before the Court while Respondent no. 2 has entered their appearance through VC. They have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer SI Rupesh Raj from PS Subhash Place.
- 7. Respondent No. 2 confirms that the matter has been amicably settled with the petitioners without any force, fear, coercion and she has received the entire settlement amount and has no objection if the FIR No. 163/2019 is quashed against the Petitioners.
- 8. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Additional PP appearing for the State, also has no objection if the present FIR No. 163/2019 is quashed.
- 9. Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable settlement of disputes in Rangappa Javoor vs The State Of Karnataka And Another, Diary No. 33313/2019, 2023 LiveLaw (SC) 74, Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr., (2013) 4 SCC 58 & in Gian Singh vs State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303.
- 10. Further, it is settled that the inherent powers under section 482 of the Code are required to be exercised to secure the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process of any court. Further, the High Court can quash non-compoundable offences after considering the nature of the offence and the amicable settlement between the concerned

CRL.M.C. 2338/2024 Page 3 of 4





parties. Supreme Court and this Court have repeatedly held that the cases arising out of matrimonial differences should be put to a quietus if the parties have reached an amicable settlement. Reliance may be placed upon *B.S. Joshi v. State of Haryana*, (2003) 4 SCC.

- 11. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice, to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.
- 12. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 163/2019, dated 29.05.2019, registered at P.S Subhash Place, Delhi under section 498A/406/34 IPC and all the other consequential proceeding emanating therefrom is hereby quashed.

भरवरोव जयरी

- 13. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
- 14. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

November 04, 2025 *SK* 

CRL.M.C. 2338/2024 Page 4 of 4