



2026:DHC:9600



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**% *Date of Decision: 29.10.2026*# **CNR No. DLHC010369682026**+ **CRL.M.C. 5864/2026**

PRATIK KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rahul Kumar Sharma, Advocate.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
IO/ASI Rakesh Kumar.
Respondent no.2 in person.**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****J U D G M E N T (ORAL)**

1. Earlier this petition was filed by only one of the accused persons, but subsequently all accused persons were impleaded by way of amended memo of parties dated 30.09.2026. The same is taken on record.

2. Petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No. 253/2023 of PS New Usmanpur, Delhi for offence under Section 498A/406/323/34 IPC & Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act on the ground that the complainant *de facto* (respondent no.2) has compromised the disputes with the petitioners.

CRL.M.C. 5864/2026

Page 1 of 2 pages

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=RAHUL YADAV, email=rahul.yadav@delhi455
6047592a0f8e8154109762e0c0a, ou=HCDH
COURT OF DELHI, c=IN
juridicCN=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d040794011446c070d6d11570
990440803b22a0d4024407903001a30fa,
cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA,
Date: 2026.10.29 18:30:55 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 29.10.2026
18:30:16



2026:DHC:9600



3. State has no objection to this petition. Statements of parties have already been recorded by the concerned Joint Registrar.

4. The respondent no.2 is present in court today and is identified by IO/ASI Rakesh Kumar. I have spoken with respondent no.2 in Hindi and it is stated by her that she has compromised all disputes with the petitioners. Marriage between the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 stands already dissolved by way of decree of divorce. One male child was born from the wedlock of petitioner no. 1 and respondent no.2, who is in the care and custody of respondent no.2. The respondent no.2 submits that she has received complete full and final settlement amount in lieu of her entire *stridhan* and maintenance. Respondent no.2 submits that now she does not wish to continue prosecution of petitioners.

5. Having spoken with respondent no.2, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through full dress trial.

6. Therefore, the petition is allowed FIR No. 253/2023 of PS New Usmanpur, Delhi for offence under Section 498A/406/323/34 IPC & Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act as well as proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d6889027a77b2b5f9ff64afe
c45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626caca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI/CID - 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d1
5570996b4080c3d3e6ed0402c487965f8
01e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.10.29 18:30:42 +05'30'

GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)

OCTOBER 29, 2026/dr

CRL.M.C. 5864/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 29.10.2026
18:30:16